

From: neil bedford  
Subject: 2nd Stage 9 Representations  
Date: 4 January 2021 at 01:43:01 GMT  
To: "louise@poservices.co.uk" <louise@poservices.co.uk>

## **Further Local Plan Stage 9 Hearing Sessions Representations**

**I have already sent representations today regarding the Council's attempt to persuade the Inspector to accept an OAN of less than 16,000.**

**I omitted however, to add that a requirement of 16,000 will make it very difficult for the Council to avoid new housing at Brookmans Park. They have tried every trick in the book to prevent new housing there because of the 35% affordable requirement. Councillors representing residents of the wealthiest area in the borough do not want so called affordable/rented housing on their patch.**

**This is why they temporarily supported site BrP4 the wrong side of the railway line. Out the way and out of sight. But they never really wanted any new houses at Brookmans Park and specifically don't want the sites either side of Bluebridge Road, BrP6, 9 & 10, as these would create lots of 'council ' houses next to the existing million pound houses of the village. The same applies to site BrP12 which they have also avoided although the Draft Local Plan states they are all 'suitable alternative sites'.**

**If the Council have to re-consider selecting sites at Brookmans Park the Inspector should resist any attempt**

**to re-allocate site BrP4. This is to avoid a ghetto situation where locally the new people over the railway line would be living in an 'us and them' scenario. With 'them' being the renters in the social housing being kept a good distance from the mansions up in the village.**

**Why create a potentially divided community in 2020. Is this money-related ? Or do the Council not care, as they won't live there ?**

**Us and them must be avoided.**

**Neil Bedford**